Excess Emissions and Continuous Monitoring System (CMS) Performance Report and/or Summary Report Subpart O - Ethylene Oxide Emissions Standards for Sterilization Facilities

SECTION I GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Print or type the following information for each facility for which you are submitting an excess emissions and CMS performance report and/or summary report (§63.9(b)(2)(i)-(ii))

| Responsible Official's Name/Title | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Matthew Russo, Site Director | | | | | |
| Street Address | | | | | |
| 60 Middletown Ave | | | | | |
| City | State | ZIP Code | | | |
| North Haven | CT | 06473 | | | |
| Facility Name (if different from Res | sponsible Official's Name) | | | | |
| Covidien LP | | | | | |
| Facility Street Address (If different | than Responsible Official's Street Addr | ess) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Facility Local Contact Name | Title | 0.0.(. | Phone (OPTIONAL) | | |
| Erin Sullivan | Manager, Environment, Health | & Safety | 203.492. 5353 | | |
| City | State CT | | ZIP Code 06473 | | |
| North Haven | | | 00473 | | |
| (§63.5(d)(1)(ii)(D)) Basis for this report (relevant stand | • | | | | |
| Subpart O- Ethylene C | Oxide Emissions Standar | as for Si | terilization Facilities | | |
| applicable relevant standard(s) in report and/or summary report? (§ Yes No f you answered yes, you must sureporting requirements together was summary report. The application | f recordkeeping and/or reporting reconjunction with this excess emiss (63.10(f)(3)) bmit the application for a waiver of vith this excess emissions and CMS for waiver should include whatever tor that a waiver of recordkeeping a | ions and Cl recordkeep performan informatior | MS performance sing and/or ace report and/or a you consider | | |
| | ds to the report(s) you are submitting | ıg: | | | |
| Summary Report Only (0 | Complete Sections II and IV) | | | | |
| Excess Emission and CN Sections II, III, and IV) | S Performance Report and Summa | ary Report (| (Complete | | |

SECTION II

CERTIFICATION (Note: you may edit the text in this section as deemed appropriate)

Based upon information and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry, I, as a responsible official of the above-mentioned facility, certify the information contained in this report is accurate and true to the best of my knowledge.

| Name of Responsible Official (Print or Type) | Title | Date (mm/dd/yy) |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Matthew Russo | Site Director | |
| Signature of Responsible Official | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Note: Responsible official is defined under §63.2 as any of the following: the president, vice-president, secretary, or treasurer of the company that owns the plant; the owner of the plant; the plant engineer or supervisor; a government official if the plant is owned by the Federal, State, city, or county government; or a ranking military officer if the plant is located on a military installation.

SECTION III EXCESS EMISSIONS AND CMS PERFORMANCE REPORT

A. Excess Emissions

- 1. Have any excess emissions or exceedances of a parameter occurred during this reporting period?

 ☐ Yes ☐ No (if no, go to B.1.) (§63.10(e)(3)(v))
- If you answered yes, complete the following table *for each period* of excess emissions and/or parameter monitoring exceedances, as defined in the relevant standard(s), that occurred *during* startups, shutdowns, and/or malfunctions of your affected source, *or during periods other than* startups, shutdowns, and/or malfunctions of your affected source. (§63.10(c)(7)-(11))

Note: Use a separate line for each period of excess emissions and/or parameter monitoring exceedances of your affected source.

| | of Event or oblem | m Exceedances Occurred: | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Excess Emissions | Parameter Monitoring Exceedance | During Startup | During Shutdown | During Malfunction | During Another Period | Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Nature and Cause of any Malfunction (if known) | Corrective Action Taken or Preventive Measures Adopted | |
| | | | | | | 7/17/2018 15:45 | 7/17/2018 16:30 | Drager LEL monitor led to artificially high EtO | Cleaned lenses/mirrors on Drager LEL | |
| | | | | | | 7/17/2018 21:25 | 7/17/2018 22:00 | concentration alarm, which tripped off burner | monitors, reset burner for LESNI catalytic | |
| | | | | | | 8/31/2018 10:25 | 8/31/2018 10:30 | for LESNI catalytic oxidizer and process | oxidizer and vented process exhaust back | |
| | | | | | | 09/16/2018 15:00 | 09/16/2018 15:20 | exhaust was vented through emergency | through controls upon reaching temperature. | |
| | | | | | | 10/2/2018 17:00 | 10/2/2018 18:00 | bypass caused by dirty lenses/mirrors on the | | |
| | | | | | | 10/2/2018 19:20 | 10/2/2018 20:00 | dragger LEL monitor. | dragger LEL monitor. | |
| | | | | | | 10/12/2018 04:10 | 10/12/2018 04:15 | | Drager LEL monitors were replaced on | |
| | | | | \boxtimes | | 10/12/2018 06:20 | 10/12/2018 07:00 | | 11/22/2018 during a scheduled shutdown | |
| \boxtimes | | | | | | 10/18/2018 09:55 | 10/18/2018 10:25 | | and since such time the issue has not | |
| | | | | | | 10/28/2018 03:20 | 10/28/2018 04:12 | | occurred again. | |
| | | | | | | 11/10/2018 17:17 | 11/10/2018 17:45 | | | |
| | | | | | | 11/12/2018 04:18 | 11/12/2018 04:48 | | | |
| | | | | | | 11/14/2018 11:10 | 11/14/2018 11:40 | | | |
| | | | | \boxtimes | | 11/14/2018 16:47 | 11/14/2018 17:15 | | | |
| | | | | | | 7/24/2018 13:35 | 7/24/2018 14:56 | LESNI catalytic oxidizer tripped alarm and | Reset unit and vented process exhaust back | |
| | | | | | | 11/10/2018 17:45 | 11/10/2018 17:55 | process exhaust was vented through emergency bypass due to unknown cause of low pressure reading. | through controls upon reaching temperature in catalyst. | |

B. CMS Performance

| 1. | Has a CMS been inoperative (except for zero/low-level and high-level checks), out of |
|----|--|
| | control (as defined in §63.8(c)(7)(i)), repaired, or adjusted during this reporting |
| | period? ⊠ Yes ☐ No <i>(if no, go to B.3.)</i> (§63.10(e)(3)(v)) |

Note: A CMS is out of control if (a) the zero (low-level), mid-level (if applicable), or high-level calibration drift (CD) exceeds two times the applicable CD specification in the applicable performance specification or in the relevant standard; or (b) the CMS fails a performance test audit (e.g., cylinder gas audit), relative accuracy audit, relative accuracy test audit, or linearity test audit; or (c) the COMMS CD exceeds two times the limit in the applicable performance specification in the relevant standard. (§63.8(c)(7(i))

When the CMS is out of control, the owner or operator of the affected source shall take the necessary corrective action and shall repeat all necessary tests which indicate that the system is out-of-control. The owner or operator shall take corrective action and conduct retesting until the performance requirements are below the applicable limits. The beginning of the out-of-control period is the hour the owner or operator conducts a performance check (e.g., calibration drift) that indicates an exceedance of the performance requirements established under this part. The end of the out-of-control period is the hour following the completion of corrective action and successful demonstration that the system is within the allowable limits. During the period the CMS is out-of-control, recorded data shall not be used in data averages and calculations, or to meet any data availability requirement established under this part. (§63.8(c)(7(ii))

2. If you answered yes, complete the following table *for each period* a CMS was out of control, repaired, or adjusted: (§63.10(c)(5)-(6), (10)-(12); §63.8(c)(8))

Note: Use a separate line for each period a CMS was out of control, repaired, or adjusted.

| CMS Type | Manufacturer | Process ID Number | Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Nature and Cause of Any Malfunction (if known) | Corrective Action Taken or Preventive Measures Adopted | Nature of the Repairs or Adjustments Made to the CMS that was Inoperative or Out of Control |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Gas Chromatograph | Baseline | EtO Sterilizers A&B | 08/23/2018 07:00 | 08/24/2018 11:59 | GC went offline and EtO concentrations were not monitored during outage | Issue investigated to determine cause of GC shutdown could not be determined. | Rebooted system, which has since remained online. |
| Gas Chromatograph | Baseline | EtO Sterilizers A&B | 10/26/2018 05:00 | 10/31/2018 15:59 | Daily calibration drift was outside of allowable range per PS-9 of 40 CFR 60, Appendix B | Multi-point calibration performed to bring analyzer drift back within specification | Calibration drift test completed when GC brought back online |
| Gas Chromatograph | Baseline | EtO Sterilizers A&B | 11/19/2018 17:00 11/26/2018 00:00 | 11/21/2018 23:59 11/28/2018 13:59 | GC taken offline to perform annual PM on 11/29/2018. Upon completion of PM, automatic run of daily calibration drift checks were not being initiated by the system for 4 operating days. (Note the sterilization operation was offline from 11/22 through 11/25) | Manual calibration drift check performed on 11/28 and system was adjusted to re-instate automatic daily calibration drift test runs. | Re configured GC system to perform automatic calibration drift test each day. |
| | | | | | | | |

3. Indicate the total process operating time during the reporting period. (§63.10(c)(13))

Total process operating time (days)

177

SECTION IV

SUMMARY REPORT- GASEOUS AND OPACITY EXCESS EMISSION AND CONTINUOUS MONITORING SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Note: One summary report shall be submitted for the hazardous air pollutants monitored at each affected source (unless the relevant standard specifies that more than one summary report is required, e.g., one summary report for each hazardous air pollutant monitored). (§63.10(e)(3)(vi))

A. Report Date and Submittal Reporting Period

Indicate the reporting period covered by this submittal and the date of this summary report. (§63.10(e)(3)(vi)(C), (M))

| Reporting period beginning date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Reporting period ending date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Summary report date (mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 07/01/2018 | 12/31/2018 | 01/30/2019 |

B. Process Description and Monitoring Equipment Information

Complete the following process description and monitoring equipment information table *for each affected source process unit*: (§63.10(e)(3)(vi)(B), (D), (E), (F), (G), (H))

Total operating time of affected source during the reporting period (days)

177

Process unit name

Ethylene Oxide Sterilizers A and B

Process unit description

Covidien LP operates two sterilization systems (each consisting of a sterilization chamber and a primary aeration room, as well as two secondary aeration rooms that are shared by both systems). The units are used to sterilize medical devices. The devices are introduced to the sterilization chamber and sterilized using a mixture of ethylene oxide, nitrogen and steam. The sterilized product is then off-gassed; first in a primary aeration room and then a secondary aeration room. All sterilizer and primary aeration room exhausts and vents are ducted to a single stack equipped with a balancer and oxidizer. There is a combined exhaust stack for the two secondary aeration room exhausts, which exhausts directly to the atmosphere due to the low levels of ethylene oxide present.

Emission and/or operating parameter limitations specified in the relevant standard(s)

- Sterilization chamber vent reduce ethylene oxide emissions by 99%, catalyst exit temperature >150 C
- Aeration room vent maximum outlet concentration of 1 ppm
- Balancer exhaust maximum THC concentration of 307 ppm

Monitoring Equipment Information

| Туре | Latest Certification or Audit Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Manufacturer | Model | HAPs Monitored |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Temperature sensor for catalyst bed outlet | 08/14/2018 | LESNI | Kjaerulf Pedersen 25.611 | N/A - temperature |
| Gas Chromatograph for vents | 12/19/2018 | Baseline | 8900 GC | Ethylene Oxide |
| HFID for balancer exhaust | Not completed during reporting period | California Analytical Instruments, Inc. | Model 600 HFID | N/A – total hydrocarbons |

C. Emission Data Summary

Complete the following emission data summary table for each affected source: (§63.10(e)(3)(vi)(I))

Total duration of excess emissions/parameter exceedances (minutes for opacity, hours for gases)

| Opacity (minutes): N/A | Gases (hours): 36.8 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Total operating time of affected source during the reporting | Total operating time of affected source during the reporting period (days) | | | | | |
| 177 | | | | | | |
| Percent of total source operating time during which excess | s emissions/parameter exceedances occurred (percent) | | | | | |
| 0.9% | | | | | | |
| Summary of causes of excess emissions/parameter excee | dances (percent of total duration by cause) | | | | | |
| Startup/shutdown | 0% | | | | | |
| Control equipment problems | 0% | | | | | |
| Process problems | 0% | | | | | |

100%

100%

0%

D. CMS Performance Summary

Other known causes

TOTAL

Other unknown causes

Complete the following CMS performance summary table for each affected source: (§63.10(e)(3)(vi)(J))

Total duration of CMS downtime (minutes for opacity, hours for gases)

| Opacity (minutes): N/A | Gases (hours): 280 | |
|---|-----------------------|-----|
| Total operating time of affected source during the report | ing period (days) | |
| 177 | | |
| Percent of total source operating time during which CMS | S were down (percent) | |
| 6.6% | | |
| Summary of causes of CMS downtime (percent of down | time by cause) | |
| Monitoring equipment malfunctions | | 53% |
| Nonmonitoring equipment malfunctions | 0% | |
| Quality assurance/quality control calibrations | 0% | |
| Other known causes | | 47% |
| Other unknown causes | | 0% |
| TOTAL | 100% | |

| Have you made any changes in CMS, processes, or controls since the last reporting period? |
|--|
| 2. If you answered yes, please describe the changes below: |
| Changes in CMS, processes, or controls since the last reporting period |
| |
| |

E. CMS, Process, or Control Changes

END OF FORM - Please make sure that a Responsible Official signs Section II prior to submitting the form to your EPA Regional Office or your State Air Permitting Agency, as applicable.